

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/990,213                   | 11/21/2001    | Jeff L. Mercer       | N-6643                  | 3542             |
| 759                          | 90 11/07/2003 |                      | EXAMI                   | NER              |
| Mark J. Patterson            |               |                      | BOMBERG, KENNETH        |                  |
| Waddey & Patte               |               |                      |                         |                  |
| Bank of America Plaza        |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 414 Union Street, Suite 2020 |               |                      | 3754                    |                  |
| Nashville, TN 37219          |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 09/990,213   | MERCER ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Kenneth Bomberg  | 3754  |  |  |  |
|   | Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |   |  |  |  |
| THE after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions.of.time.may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed  s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1)[🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S   | September 2003 .   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi   | is action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂   | Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application  | ı <b>.</b>   |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5)□   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 14</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 7)🖂   | Claim(s) <u>4-9 and 15</u> is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10)🖂  | The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2002</u> is/are   | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to   | by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro  | oved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic  | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal  | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/990,213

Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the valve-of-Group-I-in-Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 10-12 and 13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim<sup>1</sup>. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 2, 8 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

# In Reference to Claim 2

In line 4, "liquid input passage" should be --liquid passage-- to correspond with claim 1, line 3.

#### In Reference to Claim 8

In line 3, "air chamber" should make reference to --liquid chamber--.

# In Reference to Claim 15

From the context of this claim, it is apparent that it should depend from claim 14, rather than 13.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,213

Art Unit: 3754

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Czarnecki (2,041,906).

In figures 1-3, Czarnecki teaches of a device including a: valve body (10) with a lower chamber opening (14), liquid passage (12), valve assembly (Fig. 3, 15), seat (17), stem (26), and filter (24).

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lewis et al. (5,873,528).

In figure 1, Lewis et al. teaches of a device including a: valve body (16) with liquid chamber (28) having upper and lower chamber openings (top and bottom of 28), liquid passage (26), nozzle adaptor (72), valve seat (102), valve stem (22), seal assembly (58, 68), and housing (68).

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trumbull et al. (3,160,331).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although a species election was required as part of the restriction requirement, the species are related to nonelected Group II, and therefor is most in view of the election of Group I.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,213

Art Unit: 3754

In figures 1-2, Trumbull et al. teaches of a device including a: valve body (10) with liquid chamber (12) having upper and lower chamber openings (top and bottom of 12), liquid passage (20), nozzle adaptor (28), valve-seat-(36), valve-stem-(62), seal\_\_\_\_\_\_assembly (46, 58, 60), and housing (46).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Czarnecki (2,041,906) in view of Palmer (3,053,419).

Czarnecki shows a dispensing device substantially according to claim 3, but does not show the filter (245) supported by an internal support according to the claim. Palmer teaches to provide an internal filter support (40, 75) in order to secure a filter (see column 3, lines 20-26).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have included the filter support of Palmer in the dispensing device of Czarnecki in order to secure the filter as taught by Palmer.

Art Unit: 3754

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4-9 and 15 (provisionally)<sup>2</sup> are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent-form-including-all-of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Price, Boone, and Miller are included because of their valve and seal arrangements. The remaining prior art listed on the attached FORM PTO-892 have been included because of the filter teachings.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Bomberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-2179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 AM 7:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

KENNETH BOMBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3754

K.B.

November 2, 2003

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The provisional indication of the allowability of claim 15 is based upon it being made dependent from claim 14.